

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/707,047 | 11/18/2003 | Tien-Jen Cheng | FIS920030278US1 | 1046 | | |
| 29371 | 7590 03/31/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| | COLBURN LLP - IBM ROAD SOUTH | VAN, L | VAN, LUAN V | | | |
| * | LD, CT 06002 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 1753 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/707,047 | CHENG ET AL. | | | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Luan V. Van | 1753 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence addi | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>3/17/06</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT | TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW | ANCE. | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF | ce, which R 41.31; or (3) | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | | E FIRST REPLY WAS FI | LED WITHIN | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprisingly set in the final Office | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered be | ecause | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying t | the issues for | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | ompliant Amendment (| PTOL-324) | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | nt canceling the | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | Il be entered and an e | explanation of | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | • | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence is | s necessary and | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal. | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(′ | Is to provide a 1). | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims aπer e | entry is below or attacr | iea. | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowar | nce because: | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet. | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | No(s) | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Continuation of 13. Other:

The new limitation of "encapsulating exposed outer sidewalls thereof with respect to said TiW layer" as amended in claim 1 and argued on page 6-7 would require further consideration and/or search..